(Ir)relevance reasoning for software-defined network

Anduo Wang[*] Jason Croft[†] Xueyuan Mei[†]
Matthew Caesar[†] Brighten Godfrey[†]

[*]*Temple University* [†]*University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign*

ExCAPE Meeting, May 9, 2016

software-defined networking (SDN)



software-defined networking (SDN)



SDN moves complexity to
control software:
an opportunity and challenge

software-defined networking (SDN)



this talk

SDN control software



SDN control software

an individual control operation



SDN control software



managing complexity in control software



dependency occur within and across modules

- modular programming abstraction [NSDI'13, 15; SIGCOMM'14,15]
- limitation: manual, requires understanding of module internals

managing complexity in control software



multiple dependencies can conflict

- conflict resolution: module-level priority [many popular control platforms]
- limitation: coarse-grained, manual

managing complexity in control software



updates can go wrong

- debugging and verification [SIGCOMM'14, NSDI'13, 15, 16]
- limitation: identify incorrect network events/states but not revealing incorrect control logic, post-mortem

automated reasoning support



- **automated:** reduce human involvement with formal tool (SMT solver)
- **finer-grained:** operation-level
- **static:** prior-to deployment,
- **logic based:** derive proper interactions among controls

example reasoning task: dependency



operation A depends on B

(1) A update can activate B

(2) B update never activates A

dependency



operation A depends on B

(1) A is relevant to B: can find a B update such that violates A

(2) B is irrelevant to A: cannot find a B update that violates A

formal model

SDN control loop



a unified database representation



ravel: a database-defined network [SOSR'16]
ravel-net.org

dependency and irrelevance reasoning

dependency in SDN



irrelevant database updates



(ir)relevant database update





usage: synthesize orchestrator



construct dependency graph

topological sort

- remove conflicts with user guidance
- assign each update a stratum number

synthesize a master orchestrator

- activate an update only when all updates with smaller stratum numbers have completed

usage: reasoning with partial information



conflict-free guarantee

if $\neg C_A \supset \neg C_B$, A is guaranteed to be irrelevant to B

(corollary: synthesize conflict-free updates for A regarding B by rewriting C_A to $C_A \vee C_B$)

feasibility of conflict-free update

if $\neg C_A \wedge \neg C_B$ is SAT, there exists some A update that is irrelevant to B

infeasibility of conflict-free updates

if $\neg C_A \wedge \neg C_B$ is UNSAT, no A update exists that is irrelevant to B

thank you

backup

open questions

obtain the database representation

- use *Ravel*, a database-defined control platform
  - ravel-net.org

extract the database representation from arbitrary control software

- manually construct a map between data objects and database tables
- automatically convert data updates to DB write with conditions?
- extract view condition?

limitation

distribution and concurrency

- the network data plane is a distributed system with concurrent updates
- SDN relies on multiple controller for scalability

combine DB concurrency control and irrelevance reasoning?